

From: [REDACTED]
To: "Harry Haury"; jwhoft@gmail.com
Cc: Media Support
Subject: RE: Response to ROV
Date: Sunday, April 27, 2025 8:28:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

One of the things you will learn tomorrow is that the OC team is inches away from Essayli's door. This is one reason I got a bit pushy about getting this out—we are in total agreement about timing and next move and they have practically pulled it off. If the OC team can't get a meeting with Essayli, I can. I do not want to give this to anyone else. The OC DA and the Riverside DA, you will also learn, are in the mix. But I really feel they should only get the formal request after the US Atty. We can't let them screw around with this. So when they go meet with the OC DA they can blab about how Essayli already has it. That should put the fear of God into the local guys.

Marly Hornik
CEO



Unite4freedom.com



From: Harry Haury [REDACTED] >
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 11:15 PM
To: jwhoft@gmail.com
Cc: 'Media Support' <MediaSupport@ocvote.gov>; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Response to ROV

I apologize but I was reading all these versions, even sent two revisions. But for reasons unknown, both revisions ended up in my drafts instead of sent.

Nonetheless, the letter/email is very good. We will see what comes next. It is about time to call this out directly as election fraud at a massive scale conducted by parties not yet known. I

think It is time after this exchange lands to demand an immediate federal investigation. We should contemplate filing an official complaint with the appropriate U.S. Attorney in California, the Justice Department, and FBI. We might even consider filing a Qui Tam or 1983(although that could backfire, if not managed wisely).

Harry

On Sun, Apr 27, 2025 at 8:46 PM, <jwwhoft@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr. Page,

Our delay in responding to your reply below to our analysis showing that more than one in five voters in Orange County in the 2024 Election were either non-citizens, invalid, or phantom voters is due to our disbelief that your intention to get to the truth is legitimate. We base this on your response below.

Your reply provided voluminous information about list maintenance activity, thank you. However, United Sovereign Americans (USA) findings present an entirely different question. For example, on a prevalence basis, there are an estimated 74,470 votes counted in Orange County's 2024 election from "voters" that do not exist. They are not noncitizen registrants because they lied to the registrar or DMV. They are noncitizens because they are non people.

Just this one finding from the USA study represents more than 100 times the margin of victory of a congressional race that you personally certified, an attestation that the total reflected the tally of valid votes only.

Your response indicates that the votes you certified appear to have been improper but yet there appears to be no sense of duty on your part. According to your response, it is now the job of USA and myself to refer these estimated 297,670 individuals for investigation of voter fraud. **Do you actually believe that could rectify the massive harm identified in time to protect the US Congress from potential improper access by impostors serving an unknown private interest, all the while pretending to be representatives?**

This suggestion conflicts with our reading of the law, as does your assertion implying that you have no responsibility to *prevent* fraud because, "The Registrar of Voters is not authorized to investigate, regulate or prosecute alleged voter fraud."

According to your theory, the DMV validates citizenship (though not eligibility), and law enforcement investigates allegations of fraud. In between application for registration and a potentially tainted outcome something happens, called registration, verification of identity and eligibility, voting, tallying, and the final certification of only valid votes, that apparently warrants no action on your part.

This is the exact reason that we performed our work on legitimate voters in Orange County and not surprisingly to us identified that more than one in five voters in 2024 were either non-citizens, invalid or phantom voters. We performed this study based on another effort to determine the legitimacy of voter rolls in the state which determined 17% of the records in California's voter rolls were non-citizens. (<https://joehoft.com/2024-steal-exclusive-millions-of-non-citizen-voters-in-california-are-enough-to-decide-any-election-in-the-state/>)

This study was referred to numerous times in my articles related to Orange County. The conclusion of this study is as follows when trying to determine how so many non-citizens could be in the California voter rolls:

Adding up these excess registrations, there are 3,797,202 of them in the current California voter rolls. According to the California Secretary of State in the 60-Day Report of Registration, **there are 22,310,352 registered voters in the State. That suggests that 17% of the registered voters in California are illegally registered non-citizens.**

Even if we could account for half that number as legal registrations, that still leaves **a huge number of registrations that could change the outcome of almost any election.** The voter rolls also contain information on party affiliation, and as one might expect, **most of these excess registrations are for Democrats.**

One question that arises is how did they get into the rolls – how did they register? Again, information in the voter rolls tells us that a large number of these registrations came from the online registration system, while **many of the remainder came through the motor vehicle licensing system (DMV).** Both of these are supposed to check citizenship and reject non-citizen applications. In both, however, the applicant has to check a box indicating they are non-citizens. If they don't check the box, it is assumed they are citizens.

Many non-citizens are reluctant to admit they aren't citizens because that could identify them for future removal or other action. Easier just

to leave the box unchecked. Both the online registration system and the DMV will pass these through to the voter registration system as probable citizens. Checks with Social Security, or with other government sources of citizenship status often fail because these agencies lack essential information.

What about the argument that non-citizens wouldn't register because that would disqualify them from ever becoming citizens? It turns out that many, if not **most of these individuals don't know they are registered to vote.**

Federal prosecution guidelines state:

"It is the states that have primary authority to ensure that only qualified individuals register and vote, that the polling process is conducted fairly, and that the candidate who received the most valid votes is certified as the winner."

And according to the federal courts:

"[a]n election is a process whose fairness is meant to engender confidence in a democratically selected government. When the purity of the process is compromised in part, the corruption affects the integrity of the whole." US v Slone, F6th No. 03-6427 (2005)

Certification of an election is an attestation of both accuracy and legal compliance in the final tally. The USA study indicates that the final tally in Orange County in 2024 is neither of the two. Rather than listing legal requirements, can you figure out what happened, immediately, as a matter of national security. There appear to be significant breaches of the following basic principles of a fair election:

- All qualified citizens are eligible to vote.
- All qualified voters have the right to have their votes counted fairly and honestly.
- Invalid ballots dilute the worth of valid ballots, and therefore will not be counted.

Instead of asking me to provide you with a list of voters we identified in our sample to refer for prosecution, I am asking you to use the tools of the state to verify the entire list of voters in 2024 to ensure your validation of those results was accurate and to prepare for the upcoming election in 2026, which is your job. 297,000 votes improperly counted in the elections for six members of the US House of Representatives is a constitutional disaster.

Knowing that the process to maintain accurate lists of voters that comply with the law is broken in your important county, I would expect you will take immediate action, as do the qualified citizens of Orange County, California and the entire United States to get this addressed.

Sincerely,

Joe Hoft

cc: Marly Hornik and Harry Haury with United Sovereign Americans

From: Media Support <MediaSupport@ocvote.gov>

Sent: Friday, April 18, 2025 10:12 PM

To: jwhoft@gmail.com

Cc: [REDACTED]; 'Harry Haury' <[REDACTED]>; Media Support <MediaSupport@ocvote.gov>

Subject: RE: Response to ROV

Mr. Hoft:

It is not my intent to challenge your study or delay.

I asked for a copy of the study because... If United Sovereign Americans has identified ineligible and nonexistent voters on Orange County's voter roll, I would like that evidence as soon as possible to review and potentially provide to the Orange County District Attorney and/or Secretary of State to investigate anyone who may have lied on a voter registration affidavit.

As you have asked me to prove that the Orange County Registrar of Voters adheres to the law, I provide the following summary of how we comply with California and federal law requiring us to only register voters who have certified under penalty of perjury that they are eligible to vote and provided proof of identity.

Maintaining an accurate voter registration roll

The Orange County Registrar of Voters team takes maintenance of the County's voter registration roll and potential voter fraud seriously.

We update registration records daily based on information provided by voters and official government sources. In 2024, there were 974,220 voter registration updates made to Orange County voter registration records, which was a monthly average of 81,185 transactions.

In addition to complying with the legal mandate to update a registration record within five business days of notification from the voter or an official government source, we also access third-party data to identify possible voter address and status changes that can be further researched to potentially update voter registration records. This includes comparing our voter information with consumer credit reporting agency data before all statewide elections.

Note: A voter's registration record cannot be modified based on third-party data, but the Registrar of Voters does use the information to contact the voter to confirm the accuracy of the information.

As a result of our daily voter file maintenance work, the percentage of ballots returned as undeliverable last year was substantially less than the national undeliverable first-class mail rate [as reported by the United States Postal Service](#):

- 2024 Primary Election Ballots – 1.6%
- 2024 General Election Ballots – 1.7%
- FY 2024 All First Class Mail - 4.3%

Attached is a summary of our voter file maintenance activities, which are also described on the Registrar of Voters website at <https://ocvote.gov/registration/maintaining-an-accurate-voter-list>.

Regarding United States citizenship, [California Elections Code section 2112](#) states that a person certifying his or her U.S. citizenship on a voter registration affidavit pursuant to [Elections Code section 2111](#) shall be deemed evidence of citizenship. The Registrar of Voters is not authorized by California or federal law to separately verify a voter's citizenship.

In addition, the Registrar of Voters complies with the federal Help America Vote Act (HAVA), which requires individuals registering to vote for the first time in the state/jurisdiction to provide either a valid driver's license or state ID card number. Applicants who do not have either can provide the last four digits of their Social Security number.

When voters register, they provide the number of their California Driver's License or Identification Card. This number and the voter's name and date of birth must match the information for the voter on file with the Department of Motor Vehicles.

In reviewing Orange County's voter registration roll this week, we found that 98.2 percent of our nearly 1.9 million active registered voters provided

a California Driver's License number with their voter registration.

California law further prohibits the Department of Motor Vehicles from sending undocumented driver license applicants' information to the Secretary of State for voter registration purposes.

HAVA ([52 USC Ch. 209: Election Administration Improvement, Section 21083](#)) provides that persons without a state driver's license, state ID, or Social Security number can still register to vote. But before they vote in a federal election, they must present to the state or local elections official a valid photo ID or a copy of "a current utility bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck, or other government document that shows the name and address of the voter."

California's standards for proof of identity when required by HAVA are contained in [Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations section 20107](#).

Only 0.1 percent of Orange County's nearly 1.9 million active registered voters are currently required by HAVA to provide proof of identity before they vote in the next federal election.

Willfully lying about one's eligibility to vote on a registration affidavit is a felony in California, carrying a penalty of up to three years in county jail.

If a third party submits evidence of an illegal registration, we immediately report this to the District Attorney and/or Secretary of State for investigation. The Registrar of Voters is not authorized to investigate, regulate or prosecute alleged voter fraud.

If you or United Sovereign Americans provide me with documented evidence about specific voters that you believe are not eligible, I will promptly refer that evidence to investigators.

Thank you.

Bob Page | Registrar of Voters | Orange County Registrar of Voters
1300 S. Grand Avenue, Bld C | Santa Ana, California 92705 | 714.567.5197
mediasupport@ocvote.gov



From: jwhoft@gmail.com <jwhoft@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2025 9:53 AM

To: Media Support <MediaSupport@ocvote.gov>

Cc: jwhoft@gmail.com; [REDACTED]; 'Harry Haury'

<[REDACTED]>

Subject: Response to ROV

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Mr. Page,

Thank you for your reply.

Ultimately, our efforts to date are concerned about having a legitimate representative government. This is why I teamed up with United Sovereign Americans (USA) because they are a nonpartisan group of highly credentialed professionals who simply want to know that the votes of qualified voters in Orange County were counted based on the appropriate totals of votes cast, a fundamental civil right.

The data used in the study we shared a week ago is unimpeachable, as it comes from you. Using your official list of voters who voted, USA attempted to verify the eligibility of the voters, as recorded by you, on a prevalence basis. The USA methodology is published alongside their results. A sample was obtained according to the parameters noted in last week's article. This random sample of voters was then analyzed for compliance with US law. This included examining each record in the prevalence sample in three different commercial skip-tracing tools used to find persons precisely in space and time. This is how we determined that [more than 20% of the voters in Orange County in the 2024 election were either non-citizens, invalid or phantom voters.](#)

Your academic experts can easily repeat this process using NIST certified random number tables or a generator, to create a statistical sample of equal significance. Your effort to perform a similar analysis of a random sample of voters would be very beneficial to the voters in Orange County as it would provide additional information on the significance of the issues we uncovered. In addition, as a government official, you have access to superior identity resolution databases and additional relevant records, including the original voter registration applications.

While the expert reviewers who you selected to potentially review our work appear to have excellent credentials in political science, and are qualified to research and debate policy concerns, this study makes no policy claims. The policy is very clear in this circumstance and is determined federal law.

According to the Voting Rights Act of 1965, only appropriate votes may be

counted in an election. According to the Help America Vote Act, only legally registered voters are allowed to appear in the official lists of registered voters. These are the only individuals, determined by California state law, who have the constitutional right to choose representatives in US Congress, according to Article 1 § 2 of the United States Constitution. It goes without saying that the possibility of having synthetic identities, noncitizens, and individuals with false addresses not only registered but actually voting, as well as wholly unsubstantiated votes counted, falls well outside of what the law allows.

In reviewing United States Supreme Court rulings, we find it is your duty not to challenge our unbiased expert report, but to immediately investigate the validity of your data on an emergency basis. For example, in *In re Coy*, 127 US 731 (1888), cited in the 2017 edition of the Department of Justice publication *Federal Prosecution of Election Offenses* as “still good law,” we discovered the following:

"Congress seeks by this statute to guard the election of members of Congress against any possible unfairness by compelling, under its pains and penalties, everyone concerned in holding the election to a strict and scrupulous observance of every duty devolved upon him while so engaged. . . . The evil intent consists in disobedience to the law."

Rather than proposing to delay a deeper investigation of this data with two hand-picked academics that will likely attempt to expound a political narrative that there is no illegal conduct in the election for which you were personally responsible, it would be better for all involved if you investigate your data.

As you know, the state has access to tools to verify the eligibility and citizenship of voters, that are unavailable to us. Your obligation under U.S. law is to respond to reasonable concerns and ensure that 2024 was and 2026 will be compliant with established Federal and State law in Orange County.

We encourage you to prove the great work you have done in Orange County, meticulously adhering to the law to prevent fraud from tainting the elections of six US House members and protecting our right to choose representatives. This is all we are asking.

We stand by ready to assist if called upon, as our national sovereignty is at stake,

Regards,

Joe Hoft